



2026:DHC:4387



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 16.05.2026

+

CRL.M.C. 2154/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8909/2026

RAJ KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Seema Pal, Advocate (*through videoconferencing*).

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for State (*through videoconferencing*) with ASI Vikram Singh, PS Karawal Nagar.
Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Advocate for R-2 with R-2 (*through video conferencing*).**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****J U D G M E N T (ORAL)**

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 207/2015 of PS Karawal Nagar for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 Dowry Prohibition Act on the ground that complainant *de facto* (*respondent no.2*) has settled the disputes with the petitioners.

CRL.M.C. 2154/2026

Page 1 of 2 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
c=IN, email=gkathpalia@delhihi.org, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI
Serial=110003, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.05.16 08:05:38 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 16.05.2026
18:21:43



2026:DHC:4387



2. State has no objection to this petition. Statements of parties have already been recorded by the concerned Joint Registrar.
3. The respondent no.2, present through videoconferencing, is identified by IO/ASI Vikram Singh. I have spoken with respondent no.2 in Hindi and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. No child was born from wedlock of petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2. The respondent no.2 submits that she has received complete full and final settlement amount in lieu of her entire *stridhan* and maintenance. Respondent no.2 submits that she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioners.
4. Having spoken with respondent no.2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through a full dress trial.
5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 207/2015 of PS Karawal Nagar for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 Dowry Prohibition Act as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed. Accompanying application stands disposed of.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA
GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b265ffffe4afe,
c45569af3962c0fb4835d43f97626acca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI/CID - 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d
15570996b40f80cb2ee60402c487965ff
801e28fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.05.16 06:05:45 +0530

MAY 16, 2026/dr

CRL.M.C. 2154/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date: 16.05.2026
18:21:43