



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 905/2026**

SH. DEEPAK MANN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Adv. (through
VC)

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Alok Kumar Sharma, Proxy
Counsel for Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari,
ASC for State with Insp. Sanjeev
Kumar, PS.: Punjabi Bagh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **20.03.2026**

CRL.M.A. 8567/2026 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 905/2026

3. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent to grant parole for a period of *two months*.

4. Leaned counsel for the petitioner submits that though a representation dated 18.02.2026 seeking grant of parole has already been



made, however, as the same has not been made before the concerned Authorities it is still pending.

5. Issue notice. Learned proxy counsel for the State accepts notice. He submits that without adverting to the merits involved, since the petitioner is to have a surgery scheduled on 21.04.2026, he submits that the aforesaid representation shall be decided within a period of *two weeks*.

6. In view of the aforesaid, since there is nothing surviving herein the present petition is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 20, 2026/bh