



\$~84, 85, 87 & 88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 3593/2026
MAA BHAGWATI DEGREE COLLEGE & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari, Mr. Pankaj Kumar Ray, Advs.
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Nandeesh
Nanda, Mr. Shivom Sethi, Mr. Kshitiz, Advs. for
NCTE

85

+ W.P.(C) 3616/2026
HARISHCHANDRA MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari, Mr. Pankaj Kumar Ray, Advs.
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Nandeesh
Nanda, Mr. Shivom Sethi, Mr. Kshitiz, Advs. Mr.
Mohinder JS Rupal, , Mr. Hardik Rupal, Ms.
Aishwarya Malhotra, Ms. Tripta Sharma, Advs for
NCTE

87

+ W.P.(C) 3628/2026
JIUT DAS GIRLS COLLEGE & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari, Mr. Pankaj Kumar Ray, Advs.
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Nandeesh
Nanda, Mr. Shivom Sethi, Mr. Kshitiz, Advs. Mr.
Mohinder JS Rupal, , Mr. Hardik Rupal, Ms.
Aishwarya Malhotra, Ms. Tripta Sharma, Advs for
NCTE



88

+

W.P.(C) 3654/2026

MAA BHAGVATI DEGREE COLLEGE & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari, Mr. Pankaj Kumar Ray, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi
Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, , Mr. Kshitiz, Advs. for
NCTE

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

23.03.2026

%

1. These are writ petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:

“W.P.(C) 3593/2026

(i) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to the Respondents to process and decide petitioner's application for grant of recognition of D.El.Ed. course in time bound, manner; and/ or

(ii) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to Respondents to follow the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) notified by NCTE while processing and deciding Petitioner's application for grant of final recognition of D.EL.ED. Course under Clause 7(16) of NCTE Regulations, 2014; ...

W.P.(C) 3616/2026

(i) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or



direction to the Respondents to process and decide petitioner's application for grant of recognition of B.Ed. course in time bound manner; and/or

(ii) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to Respondents to follow the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) notified by NCTE while processing and deciding Petitioner's application for grant of final recognition 33 of B.ED. Course under Clause 7(16) of NCTE Regulations, 2014; ...

W.P.(C) 3628/2026

(i) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to the Respondents to process and decide petitioner's application for grant of recognition of B.Ed. course in time bound manner; and/or

(ii) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to Respondents to follow the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) notified by NCTE while processing and deciding Petitioner's application for grant of final recognition _ of B.ED. Course under Clause 7(16) of NCTE Regulations, 2014;...

W.P.(C) 3654/2026

(i) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to the Respondents to process and decide petitioner, s application for grant of recognition of B.Ed. course in time bound manner; and/ or

(ii) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order



or direction to Respondents to follow the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) notified by NCTE while processing and deciding Petitioner's application for grant of final recognition of B.ED. Course under Clause 7(16) of NCTE Regulations, 2014; ...”

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.
3. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents in W.P.(C) 3628/2026 and W.P.(C) 3616/2026.
4. Mr. Kapoor, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents in W.P.(C) 3654/2026 and W.P.(C) 3593/2026.
5. Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that petitioner's applications are pending with the respondents for a substantially long period of time and the same have neither been returned nor have been processed in terms of the decision taken in the 55th General Body Meeting of the respondent No. 1/NCTE.
6. He further states that the petitioners have duly complied with all the procedural requirements as well as the extant rules.
7. The petitions are disposed of directing the respondents to consider the pending applications of the petitioners in accordance with the 55th GBM Meeting and either return the same (if found not in accordance with the parameters) and in the alternative if found fulfilling the parameters, the same may be processed in accordance with their extant rules within 4 months from today.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 23, 2026/AS