



\$~44

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 2071/2026, CRL.M.A. 8545/2026

SAIF ALI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hemant Kumar Srivastava,
Adv.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Ms. Vanshika Singh and
Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv. for R-2 and
3

SI- Virender, PS: Kamla Market

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

20.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR No.230/2017 dated 23.09.2017 registered at PS.: Kamla Market, Delhi under *Section 363* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, since the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3 have settled and dissolved all their disputes and differences in front of their common friend/ relative as also in view of the Compromise Deed/ MoU dated 13.03.2026 (***MoU***) [***Annexure B***] arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent no.3, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits, that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, also accepts notice and



affirms the terms of the aforesaid MoU, and submit that they have no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Facts disclose that the petitioner and respondent no.3 got married on 15.10.2017 and are residing together since last eight years. In fact, they have also been blessed with three children thereafter. Further, since the parties have voluntarily arrived at a settlement, and thus have filed their respective affidavit(s) along with the present petition. As such, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. Thus, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

6. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.230/2017 dated 23.09.2017 registered at PS.: Kamla Market, Delhi under *Section 363* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 20, 2026/Ab