



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1148/2026**

VIRENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Shivam Sharma and Ms.
Akanksha Shivhare, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (N.C.T OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for State
with SI Pardeep and SI Soamya, PS
Crime Branch.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

02.07.2026

%

1. In furtherance of previous hearings, I have heard learned senior counsel for accused/applicant today at length. After addressing at length, the learned senior counsel, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw this bail application with liberty to file afresh before the trial court after filing of the second supplementary chargesheet.

2. For convenience, the necessary circumstances and arguments are narrated as follows. According to prosecution case, in the course of a joint inspection by the Delhi Police and the Drug Control Department, certain drugs/psychotropic substances, including Tramadol in commercial quantity were recovered from possession of the accused/applicant, who was running a chemist shop. Since the accused/applicant could not produce the documentary record of sale-purchase of the contraband, the present case was



registered. The accused/applicant claims that his only mistake is not having maintained the documentary record properly, for which he can be penalized under Section 28A of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act. The investigation further revealed that the accused/applicant had purchased the allegedly recovered contraband from one Bijender, who also has been arrested and supplementary chargesheet has been filed in that regard. Today in the course of arguments, learned APP for State, on instructions of IO/SI Pardeep, submits that Bijender has disclosed the names of the manufacturers of the contraband from whom he had obtained the same. It is also disclosed by the IO that those manufacturers have sent some replies, which are being examined. It is further investigation on those lines that is pending and a second supplementary chargesheet shall be filed according to the IO within one month. That being so, it appears to learned counsel for accused/applicant that the said further investigation might reveal the documentary trail of sale-purchase of the allegedly recovered contraband. It is for this reason that the accused/applicant through his counsel has instructed the learned senior counsel to seek withdrawal of this bail application with liberty to file afresh before the trial court after the second supplementary chargesheet is filed. However, if for some reason, the second supplementary chargesheet is not filed within one month, the accused/applicant shall be at liberty to file fresh bail application before the trial court.

3. Under these circumstances, the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JULY 2, 2026/dr