



\$~1&5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 1483/2025 & CRL.M.A. 6619-6620/2025
PULKIT SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shobhit Chaudhary with Mr.
Shashwat Srivastava, Advocates.
versus
ANANT OVERSEAS PVT LTD & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Rajeev Saxena, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Megha Saxena, Advocates.

5

+ CRL.M.C. 1499/2025 & CRL.M.A. 6791-6792/2025
RACHNA SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shobhit Chaudhary with Mr.
Shashwat Srivastava, Advocates.
versus
ANANT OVERSEAS PVT LTD & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Rajeev Saxena, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Megha Saxena, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **23.05.2026**

1. A Complaint Case No. 6461/2019 was filed by respondent M/S Anant Overseas Pvt Ltd & Ors. for commission of offences under Section 138 read with 141 *Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881*. It was directed against four accused persons, including Mr. Pulkit Sharma and Ms. Rachna Sharma.
2. The abovesaid two petitions have been filed by Mr. Pulkit Sharma and Ms. Rachna Sharma whereby they seek quashing of the abovesaid complaint.
3. Though, with the consent of the parties, the matters were referred for Mediation, the matters did not get settled.
4. Fact, however, remains that an application seeking compounding of the offence was filed before the learned Trial Court and learned Counsel for the



petitioners submit that such application was taken up by learned Trial Court yesterday and in terms of Section 147 of Negotiable Instruments Act, such application has been allowed and, resultantly, all the accused persons have been acquitted.

5. A copy of such order has also been shown. The same is directed to be taken on record.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the since the complaint has already been disposed of and all the accused persons, including both the petitioners herein have been acquitted, the present petitions do not survive anymore.

7. Mr. Saxena, learned Senior Counsel for respondent acknowledges the abovesaid development.

8. The petitions are, accordingly, disposed of, as having become infructuous.

9. Needless to say, in case the complainant i.e. respondent herein is aggrieved by the abovesaid order dated 22.05.2026 whereby the compounding has been allowed, it will be open to them to take appropriate judicial remedy, in accordance with law.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MAY 23, 2026/sw/sy