



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1103/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8343/2026**

ANKIT PASWAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Yashvi Garg, Mr. Shivam, Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Mr. Shubham Mishra, Ms. Alka Pruthi, Mr. Ashu Kumar, Mr. Vikas Saini, Mr. Arun Prakash Rai, Mr. Kawalpreet Singh, Mr. Ashish Balyan, Mr. Manish Malik, Mr. Manmohan Jha and Mr. Jai Subhash Thakur, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for the State along with Insp. Madan Meena and SI Sachin Dhama.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

19.03.2026

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR bearing no. 447/2025, registered at Police Station Maurya Enclave, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 110/238(c)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS').
2. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are that on 21.12.2025 a PCR call was received at Police Station Maurya Enclave, regarding a



scuffle. Upon receiving the information, the concerned Investigating Officer (I.O.) had reached the spot and it was found that the injured persons had already been shifted to Bhagwan Mahavir Hospital. At the hospital, injured persons namely Sourav and Vijay were found under treatment and statements of injured Sourav (complainant) was recorded. In his statement, it was alleged that the applicant used to work with him and had borrowed Rs.2,000/- about three months prior to incident, and despite repeated demands, he did not return the money. Further, on 21.12.2025, when the complainant along with his friend Vijay called the applicant at DDA Market, BU Block, Pitampura and asked for the money, the applicant had arrived with 2--3 associates, carrying a knife and the applicant had stabbed the complainant on his hip from the backside while one of his associates hit vijay's head with a bottle. Thereafter, all the accused persons fled from the spot.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant argues that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and since it was dispute between the co-workers and an affidavit on behalf of the complainants is on record, wherein he states that he has no objection if the applicant is enlarged on bail. Further, it is stated that a petition has been filed before this Court seeking quashing of the aforesaid FIR, which is listed on 17.04.2026. It is thus prayed that the applicant be granted regular bail.

4. The learned APP for the State, on the other hand, argues that the allegations against the present applicant are serious in nature. It is thus prayed that the present application for regular bail be rejected.

5. This Court has **heard** arguments addressed on behalf of the learned counsel appearing for the applicant as well as the learned APP for the State



and has perused the material available on record.

6. After hearing arguments and going through the case file, this Court is of the opinion that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties as it was a dispute which arose between co-workers. It is also the case of the petitioner that after the amicable settlement, a petition has been filed before this Court seeking quashing of the aforesaid FIR, which is listed on 17.04.2026. Further, this Court also notes that the injuries in this case are simple in nature. The applicant is in judicial custody for last four months. It is also pertinent to note that there is no previous involvement of the applicant.

7. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant herein, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court and if the applicant has a passport, he shall surrender the same to the concerned trial court.
- ii) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court and IO/SHO concerned.
- iii) The applicant shall appear regularly before the learned Trial Court, unless exempted.
- iv) The applicant shall not communicate with, or come into contact with the complainant or any of the prosecution witnesses, or tamper with the evidence of the case.



8. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed and is disposed of. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.
9. It is, however, clarified that nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on merits of the case.
10. A copy of this order be communicated to the concerned jail authorities for necessary compliance.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 19, 2026/A/R