



\$~40 & 41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3479/2026 & CM APPL. 16806/2026, CM APPL. 16807/2026, CM APPL. 16808/2026

40 NITU SINHAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Chandan Kumar, Mr. Dinesh Kumar Tiwary, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC with Ms. Gurleen Kaur Waraichh, Mr. Kritarth Upadhyay & Mr. Amulya Dev Mishra, Advocates. Ms. Akanksha Suman, GP for R-1.

+ W.P.(C) 3515/2026 & CM APPL. 16981/2026, CM APPL. 16982/2026, CM APPL. 16983/2026, CM APPL. 16984/2026

41 NITU SINHAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Chandan Kumar, Mr. Dinesh Kumar Tiwary, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC with Ms. Gurleen Kaur Waraichh, Mr. Kritarth Upadhyay & Mr. Amulya Dev Mishra, Advocates for Ministry of Railways. Ms. Akanksha Suman, GP for R-1.

CORAM:



HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

24.03.2026

%

1. The present writ petitions have been filed seeking extension of the license periods by seven (7) months to operate the catering stalls allotted in favour of the petitioner.
2. A similar batch of petitions has been dismissed by this Court *vide* judgment dated 25th February, 2026, in W.P.(C) 18000/2025 titled ***“Vandana Mishra v. Union of India & Ors.”*** and other connected matters. The appeal filed by the petitioners therein against the said judgment has been dismissed by the Division Bench on 20th March, 2026.
3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court had dismissed the aforesaid batch of writ petitions on the ground that the petitioner therein had not made a claim for parity, whereas the petitioners in the present case have claimed parity.
4. I am unable to accept this submission as the Court had categorically held in the said judgment that there is no case of parity between the petitioners in ***Vandana Mishra*** (supra) batch of cases and the appellant in LPA 746/2024 titled ***Ms. Kaushalya Meena v. Union of India & Ors.*** [decided on 5th August, 2024]. Similarly, in the present case also, the petitioners cannot claim parity as the licenses were allotted to the petitioners in 2022, after the onset of COVID-19 pandemic.
5. The petitions are dismissed.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 24, 2026/ds