



\$~103

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2015/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8295/2026**

ARPIT JAINPetitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).
Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State
with SI Yashwant, P.S.; Tughlak
Road.
Respondents in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

18.03.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of case FIR No. 0052/2023 dated 14.04.2023 registered under sections 279/337 of the Indian Penal Code 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Tughlak Road, New Delhi. Consequent upon completion of investigation, the offence under section 338 of the IPC was added *vidé* chargesheet dated 27.07.2023.

2. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioner and of respondent Nos. 2 and 3, alongwith proofs of their IDs.
3. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.



4. The court has interacted with respondents Nos. 2 and 3. Respondent No.2 has received Rs. 25,000/- and respondent No.3 has received Rs. 2.75 lacs in the proceedings before the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal; and the respondents have also been paid some compensation additional amount by the petitioner. They have also stated that they do not wish to pursue the proceedings in the subject FIR.
5. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
6. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
7. While allowing the petition however, this court considers it appropriate, that by way of atonement, the petitioner shall pay costs of Rs. 20,000/- to the 'Very Special Arts India', Plot No. 3 Institutional Area, Nelson Mandela Marg, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi, within 04 weeks.
8. Proof of payment of costs be placed on record within 01 week thereafter.



9. The Registry is directed to verify compliance; and to bring to the notice of this court any default in respect thereof.
10. Accordingly, case FIR No. 0052/2023 dated 14.04.2023 registered under sections 279/337 of the IPC at P.S.: Tughlak Road, New Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 18, 2026

V.Rawat