



\$~103

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2012/2026

RAJU KUNDU & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kirti aggarwal and Mr. Abhishek  
Sharma, Advocates alongwith  
petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DEHLI AND ORS.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for  
the State with Ms. Puja Mann,  
Advocate

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA**

**ORDER**

% **18.03.2026**

**CRL.M.A. 8288/2026 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.A. 8289/2026**

3. By way of the present application, the petitioners seek condonation of delay of 02 days in re-filing the present petition. In view of the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 02 days in re-filing the present petition stands condoned.

4. Application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 2012/2026**

5. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR



bearing no. 230/2022, registered at Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 354/354B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').

6. The petitioners and respondent nos. 2 and 3 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi.

7. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that on 17.04.2022, a quarrel took place between petitioners and respondent nos. 2 and 3, which culminated in the present FIR against the petitioners under the relevant sections. It is stated that both the parties are neighbours and known to each other and have amicably settled the disputes outside the Court.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent nos. 2 and 3 who have been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that they have entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent nos. 2 and 3 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent nos. 2 and 3 further state that they have no objection if the FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 230/2022, registered at Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 354/354B/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom



are quashed.

11. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

**DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J**

**MARCH 18, 2026/rr**