



\$~99

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1976/2026**

ANKUR DAS & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Jyoti Gupta and Ms. Sunita
Singh, Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Ms. Puja Mann,
Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **18.03.2026**

CRL.M.A. 8098/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 8099/2026

3. By way of the present application, the petitioners seek condonation of delay of 02 days in re-filing the present petition. In view of the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 02 days in re-filing the present petition stands condoned.

4. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1976/2026

5. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 240/2022, registered at Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi for the



commission of offence punishable under Sections 354/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').

6. The petitioners and respondent nos. 2 and 3 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi.

7. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that on 17.04.2022, a quarrel took place between petitioners and respondent nos. 2 and 3, pursuant to which the present FIR was registered on 20.04.2022 against the petitioners under the relevant sections. It is stated that both the parties are neighbours and known to each other and have amicably settled the disputes outside the Court.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent nos.2 and 3 who have been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that they have entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent nos. 2 and 3 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent nos. 2 and 3 further states that they have no objection if the FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 240/2022, registered at Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 354/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are



quashed.

11. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

MARCH 18, 2026/rr **DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J**