



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3485/2026 & CM APPL. 16834/2026**

POONAM KAUSHIK

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Mr. Aadesh
Saraswat, Advs.

versus

KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGHATHAN (HQ) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. S Rajappa R Gowrishankar, Mr.
G Dhivyasri, Advs. for R1,3&4 KVS

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

18.03.2026

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following prayers :-

“i. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction declaring that the unilateral interference by Respondent No.4 in the internal assessment conducted by the Petitioner is illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Examination Bye-Laws and internal assessment guidelines of the Central Board of Secondary Education.

ii. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction declaring that the Review Committee constituted vide order dated 16.01.2026 by Respondent No.4 is without jurisdiction and contrary to the regulatory framework governing institutions



under the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan.

iii. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent No.2 to conduct an independent and time-bound inquiry into the conduct of Respondent No.1, 3 & 4 in relation to interference with the internal assessment process and uploading of marks.

iv. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondents to restore and upload the internal assessment marks awarded by the Petitioner, subject to verification by the competent authority, in accordance with the guidelines of the Central Board of Secondary Education...”

2. A perusal of the prayers clearly demonstrates that the dispute is between the petitioner (the teacher) and the respondent No. 4 (the principal of the school) and there are no disputes of the petitioner vis-à-vis the respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3.
3. The dispute to my mind, is purely of a private nature and therefore a writ petition will not lie.
4. For the said reasons, the petition is dismissed granting liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate legal remedies including filing of civil suit or any other petition.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 18, 2026/sp