



\$~91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 846/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8112/2026**

AGRIM KUMAR DASH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ujwal Ghai with Mr. Avinash
Singh, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC for
the State with SI Reena, P.S.: Dwarka
North.
Mr. Bhanu Malhotra, Advocate for
R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

18.03.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of case FIR No.45/2026 dated 09.02.2026 registered under section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Dwarka North, Delhi, on consent.

2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Understanding dated 11.03.2026 ('MoU'), whereby the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have resolved the matter amicably.
3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioner and of respondent No.2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.



5. The court has interacted with respondent No.2, as also with petitioner, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and an MoU dated 11.03.2026 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Upon query, respondent No.2 confirms that the petitioner did not employ any deceitful means; and their relationship was consensual.
7. Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, learned ASC (Criminal) confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
8. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
9. Accordingly, case FIR No.45/2026 dated 09.02.2026 registered under sections 69 of the BNS at P.S.: Dwarka North, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
10. Petition stands disposed-of.
11. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 18, 2026/V.Rawat