



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 146/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8211/2026 & CRL.M.A.
8212/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8213/2026
ISHAAN KULSHRESTHAPetitioner

Through: Ms. Shivani Chawla and Mr. Vidit
Anand, Advocates.

versus

ANURAG KULSHRESTHARespondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **18.03.2026**

1. Respondent herein had filed a petition seeking maintenance from his son (revisionist herein). Such has been directed to pay *ad interim* maintenance @ Rs. 20,000/- per month to his father *vide* order dated 30.01.2026.
2. Such order has been assailed by the son.
3. The prime grievance coming from the side of revisionist is to the effect that the marriage between his parents was dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent way back in the year 2010, and as per the settlement terms, his custody, when he was a minor was granted to her mother and, therefore, his father ceased to play any meaningful role in his upbringing and, therefore, he is not entitled to seek any maintenance from him.
4. The abovesaid order is only *ad interim* in nature and, generally speaking, the Court does not interfere with *ad interim* order.
5. However, after hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for revisionist submits that without prejudice to their rights, the revisionist would



deposit the amount of *ad interim* maintenance in question before the learned Executing Court. She, however, submits that let a request be made to the learned Trial Court to consider the interim maintenance application on the date fixed i.e. 14.05.2026, so that, her response is duly considered and answered without any delay.

6. In view of the above, the petitioner, as undertaken, would deposit the amount of *ad interim* maintenance with the concerned executing Court within four weeks from today. This would be without prejudice to his rights and contentions. On such deposit, let no further coercive action be taken in Execution till 14.05.2026. This Court expects that the learned Trial Court shall decide the interim application, after giving due opportunity of hearing to both the sides, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within eight weeks from the date it takes up the matter.

7. It is, however, clarified that this Court has not made any observation with respect to the merits of the case and has declined to interfere primarily for the reason that the maintenance fixed by the Court is *ad interim* in nature.

8. Petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

9. Copy of this Order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Court Master.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MARCH 18, 2026/sw/sa