



\$~91 & 92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3345/2026 CM APPL. 16142-16143/2026**

PRL PROJECTS AND INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITEDPetitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

(92)

+ **W.P.(C) 3354/2026 CM APPL. 16152-16153/2026**

PRL PROJECTS AND INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITEDPetitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Present: Ms. Anusuya Salwan, Ms. Nikita Salwan, Mr. Rachit Wadhwa, Advs for petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, CGSC, Mr. Vinay Kaushik, GP, Mr. Ankur Saboo, Adv for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **17.03.2026**

1. After some submissions, Ms. Anusuya Salwan, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petitions by keeping open all the rights and contentions of the petitioner if in the future tenders, the respondent wishes to invoke clause 2.1.14 of the RFP.

2. With liberty as above in accordance with law, the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.



3. The pending applications are also disposed of as having become infructuous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

MARCH 17, 2026

rt