



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1930/2026**

SURYA PRATAP SINGH BAIS

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr. Rahul Raj, Mr. Prashant Kirar, Mr. Mukesh Gurjar and Mr. Jitendra Chaudhary, Advs.

versus

STATE GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr.Manoj Pant, APP for the State along with SI Abhimanyu Tomar.
R-2 with his counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

17.03.2026

1. By way of present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 60001269/2025, dated 01.12.2025, registered at e- Police Station, Crime Branch, New Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 308/318(4)/319/340 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter '*BNS*').
2. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station.
3. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that in September-November, 2025, respondent No. 2 had advanced a sum of ₹1,17,000/- to



the petitioner for investment purposes. However, due to unexpected market depletion, the investment suffered losses, and the petitioner could not return the amount within the anticipated timeframe. Acting under a misconception regarding the commercial loss, .on 01.12.2025, respondent no. 2 had filed a complaint, which culminated into the present FIR against the petitioner under the relevant sections. It is stated the dispute between the parties is a private and the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement Agreement/Compromise Deed dated 02.03.2026, entered between them.

4. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

5. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. . 60001269/2025, dated 01.12.2025, registered at e-Police Station, Crime Branch, New Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 308/318(4)/319/340 of BNS and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/-, to be deposited by the petitioner within a



period of two weeks from date, with Delhi High Court Bar Clerk's Association Fund.

7. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 17, 2026/A/R