



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1891/2026**

RAJU KUMAR VISHAVKARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anuj Jain, Adv. with petitioner
in person.

Versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms.
Upasna Bakshi, Advocate with SI
Dharmendra Sharma, PS.: Patel
Nagar.
Mr. Ashish Sapra, Adv. for R-2 & 3
with R-2 & 3 (present in person
through VC)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
16.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seek quashing of
FIR No.981/2015 dated 20.11.2015 registered at PS.: Patel Nagar, Delhi
under *Sections 363/366* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all
proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Agreement
dated 23.01.2026 [**Annexure B**] arrived at between the petitioner and the
respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of



identities.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits, that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court through video conferencing, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement dated 23.01.2026, and they further submit that they have no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioner and the respondent nos. 2 and 3, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. The present petition is accompanied by a settlement already arrived voluntarily between the parties and their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. In fact, respondent no.3 has recently been married as per her statement given before the learned Trial Court and she wishes to peacefully continue with her life. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

6. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 981/2015 dated 20.11.2015 registered at PS.: Patel Nagar, Delhi under *Sections 363/366* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MARCH 16, 2026/bh