



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1887/2026

ROHIT KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar and Mr. Vijay
Kumar Kaushik, Advs. with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Ashish Mahani
and Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh,
Advocates with W/SI Rinki, PS.: K
N K Marg.
Mr. C.M. Thapliyal, Ms. Kanchan
Thapliyal, Mr. Rahul Amin, Advs.
for R-2 with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

16.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of
FIR No.229/2018 dated 04.07.2018 registered at PS.: K. N. Katju Marg,
Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/377/354/34* of the Indian Penal Code,
1860 (**IPC**) as also under *Section 4* of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961
and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of
Understanding/ Settlement Deed (**MoU**) dated 22.03.2025 [**Annexure C**]



arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and records his objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MoU dated 22.03.2025, whereby the petitioner no.1 has already paid her the total settlement amount of Rs.10,00,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 16.09.2025, and she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) to the aforesaid effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvarshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvarshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR



against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.229/2018 dated 04.07.2018 registered at PS.: K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/377/354/34* of the IPC as also under *Section 4* of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MARCH 16, 2026/bh