



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3198/2026**

ASST. COMDT. JAY PRATAP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, Mr.
Satyaarth Sinha and Mr. Ajinkya
Dhalwade, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vinay Kaushik, GP, Ms.
Mahamaya Chatterjee, SPC for with
Mr. Akash Dubey, Adv. Mr. Vinod
Sawant, Law Officer and Athurv for
CRPF, UoI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% 13.03.2026

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

“(i) Issue a writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing the impugned order dated 30.01.2025 passed by the DIG (PERS), FHQ CRPF, New Delhi rejecting the Petitioner's claim for grant of Senior Time Scale from the date completion of the 4 years of the promotion.

(ii) Issue a Writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction thereby directing the respondents to grant Senior Time Scale from the date completion of the 4 years of the promotion to the petitioner at par with his batchmates and immediate



junior in view of judgment dated 01.09.2022 passed by coordinate bench of this Hon'ble Court in AJIT KUMAR CHOUDHARY vs. UNION OF INDIA & ORS bearing W.P. (C) 7245/2019 with consequential benefits in a time bound manner.

(iii) Pass any other order this Hon'ble Court may deem fit.”

2. In effect, the petitioner is seeking Senior Time Scale (STS) w.e.f., 03.11.2014.
3. Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, learned counsel for petitioner submits that this Court in W.P.(C) 8080/2013 decided on 11.02.2015, had granted the petitioner the grade of Assistant Commandant w.e.f., 03.11.2010 and hence, the petitioner is entitled to STS, on notional basis. Mr. Bhargava also relies upon the subsequent judgment of this Court in the case of ***Ajit Kumar Choudhary v. Union of India & Ors., W.P.(C) 7245/2019***, decided on 01.09.2022.
4. On instructions, the learned counsel for the respondents submits that the issue which arises for consideration in this petition is pending consideration in the case of ***Union of India & Ors. v. Manorama Singh & Ors, Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).754/2022***.
5. Our attention has been drawn to page nos. 59 & 60 of the order, wherein the Supreme Court has granted stay of operation and implementation of the judgment of this Court granting relief to the officer.
6. Learned counsel for the respondents states that any decision *qua* the petitioner shall depend upon the outcome of the SLP pending before the Supreme Court.
7. In view of the submission of the learned counsel for the respondents,



we dispose of the petition by directing that decision for grant of STS shall be taken by the respondents based on the outcome of the case in ***Union of India & Ors. v. Manorama Singh & Ors (supra).***

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

MARCH 13, 2026

rk