



\$~114

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 403/2026**

MR RAJAT GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kunal Madan, Mr. Rahul
Matharu and Mr. Mohit Gulati, Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Gupta, SPC, Mr. Vidur
Dwivedi, Adv. for Delhi Police.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

13.03.2026

CM APPLs.15699/2026 and 15700/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 403/2026 and CM APPL.15701/2026

3. The present petition alleges wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the order dated 19.12.2025, passed in W.P.(CrI.) 4195/2025.

The operative directions therein are as under:

- “5. The learned ASC appearing on behalf of the State submits that a Personal Security Officer (hereafter ‘PSO’) has been proved to the petitioner herein during daytime, pending final threat assessment and passing of a final order by the DCP concerned.
6. The State is directed to ensure that a PSO is also deputed for the night time i.e., on a 24 hours basis with the petitioner herein.
7. The State is also directed to ensure that a beat constable will also go to the house of the present petitioner twice a day.
8. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with the above arrangements and seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.



9. Accordingly, with above directions, the present petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to approach this Court in case the need so arises in future.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the arrangement, as contemplated in the aforesaid order dated 19.12.2025 has been discontinued and as on date, the petitioner is left without any security, even though he continues to receive multiple threats.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent, who appears on advance notice submits that the final threat assessment does not justify continuation of the arrangement contemplated in the order dated 19.12.2025.

6. It transpires that copy of the final threat assessment has not been served on the petitioner.

7. Considering the circumstances, the following directions are issued:

(i) For now, the respondent shall continue to comply with the directions contained in the order dated 19.12.2025;

(ii) In case the respondent seeks to discontinue the arrangement, it shall be incumbent on the respondent to provide a copy of the final threat assessment to the petitioner and / or move an appropriate application in the concerned Court which passed the order dated 19.12.2025. Let the same be done within a period of four weeks from today;

(iii) It shall be open for the petitioner to initiate appropriate proceedings for continuation of the arrangement as contemplated in the order dated 19.12.2025, in case the same is sought to be discontinued by the respondent.

8. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms. Pending



application also stands disposed of.

MARCH 13, 2026/cl

SACHIN DATTA, J