



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) 522/2026, CM APPL. 15644/2026, CM APPL. 15645/2026

GEETA DEVI @ GEETA SINGH & ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Palvinder Singh, Mr. S.W. Nomani, Mr. Gajender Singh, Advs. along with Appellant Nos.1 & 2.

versus

HARISH CHANDRA YADAV & ORS.Respondents
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% **25.03.2026**

1. is petition has been filed assailing order dated 13th February 2026 passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rohini Courts, Delhi ('*MACT*') in *Misc. DJ. No. 242/2026* in relation to part release of amounts which have been deposited by Insurance Company. Before the MACT, a settlement had taken place for *Rs.30,00,000/-* in favour of the legal heirs of the deceased (*petitioners herein*). MACT directed the apportionment in the following manner:

- i. *Rs.15,00,000/-* in favour of *Ms. Geeta Devi* (widow of the deceased).
- ii. *Rs.3,00,000/-* to *Ms. Dhanuli Devi* (mother of the deceased).
- iii. *Rs.6,00,000/-* to *Master Mayank* (minor son of the deceased).
- iv. *Rs.6,00,000/-* to *Ms. Deepanshi* (daughter of the deceased).



2. It was stated that 90% of the amount would be kept in an annuity to be released to them in a phased manner at *Rs.10,000/-* each per month, and remaining 10% amount would be released to them immediately.
3. It is stated by counsel for petitioner that only *Rs.1,80,000/-* has been released to claimants. However, there is a requirement of about *Rs.8,00,000/-* to meet the liabilities which they have incurred due to the loans that they have taken from other family members.
4. *Ms. Geeta Devi* is present in Court along with son, *Master Mayank*, and states that she requires the said sum for securing the property in which they have been residing based on payment of a security amount, since she now needs to take care of the minor children.
5. The security agreement executed on 23rd March 2026 between *Smt. Radha Bisht (owner of the property where they are staying)* and *Ms. Geeta Devi*, has also been placed on record.
6. In view of the above, the impugned order is modified to the extent that *Rs.8,00,000/-* from the compensation apportioned to *Ms. Geeta Devi* shall be released. Balance amount shall continue to remain in an interest-bearing fixed deposit, to be released as per the directions of MACT.
7. Said release shall be done within a period of next two weeks in the designated accounts of *Ms. Geeta Devi*, upon verification.
8. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.
9. Pending applications are rendered infructuous.
10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MARCH 25, 2026/ak/tk