



\$~110

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 399/2026**

ANSHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person (through v/c)
versus

SHO PS HARI NAGAR AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh, CGSC,
Mr. Ankit Khatri and SI Tej Dutt, PS
Hari Nagar.
Mr. Kushagra Kumar, SPC, UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

13.03.2026


CM APPL.15677/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 399/2026 & CM APPL.15676/2026 (for directions)

3. The present petition alleges wilful disobedience of the order dated 16.05.2025 passed by JMFC West, Tis Hazari Court in Ct. Case 822/2025.

The same reads as under:





5. If no cognizable offence has been made out, whether the complainant has been informed accordingly.
SHO concerned is also directed to go through the video footages filed by the complainant alongwith the application.
Copy of the complaint alongwith the annexures he supplied to the Nabh Court, PS today.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant/ applicant has also moved an application for preservation of CCTV footage of private entities as mentioned in the application.
Perused.
JO/EO is directed to verify the veracity of the claims made in the application and file a reply to a point whether the said CCTV footage is required to be preserved or not. In case, the CCTV footage is required to be preserved, JO/EO is directed to file the same as part of the ATR, returnable on 24.05.2025.
(Sanyam Jain)
Believer JMFC Link (W1/118 HAZARI COURTS
DELHI/16.05.2025

4. During the course of hearing, it is stated by learned counsel for the respondents that the concerned CCTV footage has been preserved. It is further submitted that the respondent / SHO, PS Hari Nagar is in the process of filing a reply in the aforesaid ongoing proceedings.
5. Needless to say, it shall be for the concerned court to pass appropriate and further orders in the matter, as may be deemed appropriate.
6. Considering the circumstances, this Court does not consider it appropriate to entertain the present petition, especially in view of the aforesaid statement on behalf of the respondent.
7. The same is accordingly, disposed of. Pending application also stands disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MARCH 13, 2026/cl