



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1859/2026 & CRL.M.A. 7655/2026**

HARI OM KALRA & ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Thukral and Ms. Shiwani
Meena, Advocates with petitioners
in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms.
Upasna Bakshi, Advocate with SI
Ravinder, PS- Rani Bagh.
Mr.Jevesh Mehta, Advocate with
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

13.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.310/2017 dated 09.09.2017 registered at PS.: Rani Bagh, Delhi under *Sections 354/354-A/354-B/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 20.11.2025 (***MoU***) [***Annexure C***] arrived at between the petitioners and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their proofs of identities as also the affidavit(s) respectively *qua* the aforesaid effect.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and records



his objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, who is present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MoU dated 20.11.2025 and submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Upon an overall consideration of the existing facts and circumstances, even though this Court is mindful that the present FIR has been registered under *Sections 354/354A/354B/34* of the IPC, however, this Court is inclined to quash the present FIR in exercise of its inherent powers under *Section 528* of the BNSS, particularly, since the respondent no.2 wishes to end the dispute with the petitioners herein so that she can proceed with her life ahead in search of better prospects as also since the same would be in the interest of the parties and betterment of their future.

6. In any event, a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by the affidavit(s) of the parties *qua* the aforesaid effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.



7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.310/2017 dated 09.09.2017 registered at PS.: Rani Bagh, Delhi under *Sections 354/354-A/354-B/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Lastly, considering the present FIR is pertaining to the year 2014 and much water has flown since then, the petitioners who are in the bakery business, as a gesture of goodwill, volunteer to share the cost equally for distributing packaged food items to all the staff/ personnel of PS: Rani Bagh, Delhi on 16.03.2026 at 04:00 P.M themselves.

9. As such, the present petition, along with the pending applications, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 13, 2026/NA