



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 783/2026**

RAHUL CHOPRA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar and Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Advocates alongwith petitioners.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for State.

Respondent No.2 in person.

SI Chandan, P.S. PLE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

13.03.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No.2, seek quashing of case FIR No.265/2024 dated 22.04.2024 registered under sections 498A/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Paschim Vihar East, Outer District, Delhi.

2. The petition is premised on mediated Settlement dated 27.05.2025 arrived at through mediation before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi; and Divorce Decree dated 15.11.2025, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.



3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a mediated settlement has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs. 1,25,000/- from petitioner No.1; and she has received the entire amount, in compliance of the terms of the settlement. Respondent No.2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
8. Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel, learned confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all



subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

10. Accordingly, case FIR No.265/2024 dated 22.04.2024 registered under sections 498-A/509 of the IPC at P.S.: Paschim Vihar East, Outer District, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 13, 2026

V.Rawat