



\$~46

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 1824/2026

PANKAJ SHARMA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Himadri Sharma, Advocates
with petitioners in person

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
State with SI Ravinder, PS: Ravi
Bagh
Mr. Vivekanand J., Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

13.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.77/2017 dated 06.04.2017 registered at PS.: Rani Bagh, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 22.08.2025 [***Annexure P2***] arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding dated



22.08.2025, whereby the petitioner no.1 has already paid her a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.8,00,000/- and has further handed over a Demand Draft dated 07.03.2026, bearing No.727992 of Rs.3,00,000/- (Bank of India) today in Court to her as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. She further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent *vide* Decree dated 26.11.2025, and she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. The present petition is accompanied with a settlement already arrived voluntarily between the parties and their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. As such, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.77/2017 dated 06.04.2017 registered at PS.: Rani Bagh, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/354/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 13, 2026/So