



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1821/2026, CRL.M.A. 7472/2026

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, SPP for ED
with Mr. Vivek Gurnani, Panel
Counsel and Mr. Pranjal Tripathi
and Mr. Kanishak Maurya, Advs.

versus

JAWAD AHMAD SIDDIQUI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Vikram Chaudhri, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Arshdeep Singh, Mr.
Vishvendra Tomar, Mr. Abhishek
Singh, Mr. Talib Mustafa, Mr.
Shubh Mathur, Mr. Ketan Kumar,
Mr. Rishi Sehgal, Mr. Harsh
Srivastava, Ms. Diksha Rammani
and Mr. Chetan Nagpal, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

01.04.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 483(2)* read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks setting aside the order dated 07.03.2026 (***impugned order***) passed by the learned ASJ-02, South-East District, Saket Courts, Delhi (***learned Trial Court***) in Bail No.561/2026, entitled “*ED vs. Jawad Ahmad Siddiqui*”, filed in CC No.02/2026 in ECIR/STF/33/2025 whereby, the respondent had been granted interim bail for a period of *two weeks* on



ground of the medical illness of his wife particularly, the chemotherapy scheduled for 12.03.2026.

2. Though this Court has significantly heard learned (senior) counsel for the parties, however, since by way of the impugned order the petitioner was granted interim bail for a limited period of *two weeks* from his date of release in the wake of the chemotherapy of his wife scheduled for 12.03.2026, and since the said period is long over during the pendency of the present petition, in view of the aforesaid, adjudicating upon the present petition would be an exercise in futility.

3. Considering the aforesaid, this Court is of the considered view that, it would be in the interest of justice, if the present application is disposed of with liberty for the respondent to approach the learned Trial Court to file a fresh/ new application seeking interim bail, if any, on the basis of similar and/ or other facts and circumstances and/ or any fresh medical record(s) of the respondent's wife.

4. Needless to say, the learned Trial Court shall consider the said application *de novo* in accordance with law on its own merits, without being influenced by the assertions made, grounds taken and/ or arguments addressed by either of the parties before this Court.

5. Accordingly, the present petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

APRIL 01, 2026/Ab