



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 208/2023**

JAI PAL SINGH TYAGI AND ORS

.....Appellant

Through: **Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate.**

versus

RATTAN SINGH AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **20.04.2026**

CM APPL. 25827/2026 (under Section 151 and 152 CPC on behalf of the Appellants seeking Corrections/ Modifications of Orders dated 06.04.2026)

1. An Application has been filed on behalf of the Appellant for correctly noting the attendance of Mr. J.P. Sengh, learned Senior Advocate for the Appellant instead of Mr. Ravinder Sethi, Senior Advocate, who had not appeared.
2. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that they had not marked the attendance through Google Form on 06.04.2026, but had left a piece of paper in the Court.
3. Submissions heard.
4. The right mode of the marking of attendance is through Google and not by giving some paper in the Court, for which there is no permission. However, be as it may, considering the submissions made, the attendance of Mr. J.P. Sengh, learned Senior Advocate be marked for the Appellant in the



Order dated 06.04.2026.

5. The Application is allowed and stands disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 20, 2026/va