



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 978/2026

PREETI SAHARAWAT

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Joginder Tuli, Ms. Joshini Tuli,
Ms. Taniya Qureshi, Ms. Meena
Tuli, Ms. Joshini Tuli & Ms.
Deepanshi Saini, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh, Advocate alongwith
Inspector Vijay and Inspector
Rakesh Sharma with SI Rakesh
Sharma, ISC/Crime.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

20.04.2026

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 483* read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), the applicant seeks grant of interim bail for a period of thirty (30) days in proceedings arising from FIR No. 84/2019 registered at PS.: Crime Branch, New Delhi for offence punishable under *Section 302/201/397/120B/411* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 on humanitarian grounds.
2. Pursuant to the order dated 12.03.2026, the applicant has filed certain documents showing the status of both, the daughters and son of the applicant. Learned APP, on the other hand, has handed over a copy of the Status Report, which is taken on record.



3. *Succinctly put*, it is the case of the applicant that she is the sole available parent capable of providing requisite emotional and psychological support to her son, aged about 24 years, who has been experiencing anxiety, depression, and a significant decline in his mental health in the recent past, attributable to the prolonged incarceration and consequent absence of the applicant. Further, her presence is equally necessary for guiding and supporting her daughter, aged about 22 years, who is presently preparing for the CUET (UG) examination, 2026.

4. *Per contra*, learned APP for the State relying upon the Status Report and upon verification submits that though, it is correct that the son of the applicant visited Sukoon Clinic, Faridabad for sleep disorder, however, it way back in the year 2022 and ever since, there has been no follow-up treatment as also no material indicating any ongoing medical supervision or treatment. Further, it is correct that the applicant's daughter is due to appear in the forthcoming CUET (UG) examination, 2026 however, she is under due care and support of her cousin, Yashika, who is a teacher. Lastly, since the applicant is arraigned for a brutal incident of triple murder committed during the course of robbery, she does not deserve to be enlarged on interim bail.

5. Considering the assertions made, the documents appended and upon going through the contents of the Status Report, this Court finds that although it is an admitted position that the applicant's son had visited Sukoon Clinic, Faridabad, in connection with a sleep disorder, such consultation dates back to the year 2022. There is no document(s)/prescription(s) thereafter which can indicate that the son is still suffering from any disease, much less, of the similar nature as there is nothing on



record to indicate any subsequent follow-up and/ or that he is presently unstable and/ or is undergoing medical supervision or treatment.

6. Insofar as the plea regarding the need to guide and support the applicant's daughter is concerned, though it is a matter of record that the daughter has successfully applied for the forthcoming CUET (UG) examination, 2026, however, the present application is bereft of any material particulars to suggest that the release of the applicant is instrumental in the said preparation.

7. Even otherwise, considering the role assigned to the applicant in the present FIR, particularly, since she is alleged to have committed a brutal murder of three individuals during the course of robbery, this Court does not find any reason, even on humanitarian grounds, to grant interim bail to the applicant.

8. Accordingly, the present application is dismissed.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 20, 2026/NA/DA