



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1566/2021 and CM APPL. 4464/2021, CM APPL. 13563/2022, CM APPL. 30702/2023, CM APPL. 35615/2025

POONAM SONKER

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Nidhi Mohan Parashar and Mr. Amar Bajpayee, Advocates.

versus

GNCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mrs Avnish Ahlawat, SC, GNCTD Services, Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Ms Aliza Alam, Mr Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

24.02.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner confines her petition to the extent of directing the respondent to adhere to 2015 Policy Allotment Rules. The Clause 9 thereof, reads as under:

“9. The parking space proposed by DDA will be used for parking, so that the transpiration of market can work smoothly.”

2. Even otherwise, the parking space should not be allowed to be used for any other purpose. The allotment of *phars* over the parking place is bound to create embargo in smooth transportation of the stakeholders.



3. The respondents are directed to adhere to the aforesaid Clause 9 of the 2015 Policy Allotment Rules, so long as the same remains in force.
4. With these directions, the petition, along with pending applications, stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 24, 2026

aks/ap