



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1770/2026**

TANYA ARJUN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Monga, Advocate
alongwith petitioner in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Mr. Hitesh Vali,
Advocate, SI Sonam and SI Pramod
Mr. Aadarsh Kothari, Mr. Utpal
Sharma, Ms. Aparna Bhadoria and
Mr. M. P. Sharma, Advocates for R-2
alongwith R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

13.03.2026

%

CRL.M.A. 7255/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1770/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing No. 81/2023, registered at Police Station Defence Colony, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections Section 3(1)(r)(s) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereafter 'SC/ST Act').



4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. All the petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned from Police Station Defence Colony, Delhi.
6. Brief facts of the present case are that the FIR in the present case arises from the allegations levelled by respondent No. 2 against the petitioner alleging that the petitioner had made certain derogatory remarks. It is pertinent to note that the alleged remarks were not made in a public place nor within public view. Pursuant to the registration of the said FIR, after investigation, the chargesheet was filed before the concerned Court.
7. It is stated that during the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have now amicably settled their disputes *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 21.02.2026.
8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO concerned, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.
9. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.
10. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 81/2023, registered at Police Station Defence Colony, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Section 3(1)(r)(s) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of



Atrocities) Act, 1989 of SC/ST Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 13, 2026/ns/R