



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1768/2026**

MANOJ & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Tanmay Singh, Advocate for P-1.
Mr. Gajraj Singh, Ms. Sakshi
Sachdeva and Ms. Aayushi Gupta,
Advocates for P-2 to 8.
Petitioners in-person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shubhi Gupta, APP for the State.
Respondents Nos. 2 to 5 in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

11.03.2026

CRL.M.A. 7248/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 1768/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners and respondents, jointly seek quashing of case FIR No. 139/2020 dated 13.04.2020 registered under sections 323/354/354(B)/451/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Kanjhawala, Delhi. Consequent upon completion of investigation, offence under section 506 IPC was added *vide* charge-sheet dated 24.08.2020.



2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits, that one of the co-accused Joginder is stated to have passed-away on 23.09.2024; and therefore proceedings against him accordingly stood abated on 13.05.2025.
3. The petition is premised on Settlement/Compromise Deed dated 13.02.2026, whereby the petitioners and respondents Nos.2 to 5 have resolved the matter amicably.
4. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondents Nos.2 to 5, alongwith proof of their IDs.
5. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
6. The court has interacted with respondents Nos.2 to 5, as also with the petitioners, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and a Settlement/Compromise Deed dated 13.02.2026 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
7. Ms. Shubhi Gupta, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
8. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in **Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all



subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

9. While allowing the petition however, this court considers it appropriate, that by way of atonement, the petitioners shall pay costs of Rs.5,000/- *each* to Friendicoes SECA, No.271 & 273, Defence Colony Flyover Market, Jungpura, New Delhi within 04 weeks.
10. Subject to the aforesaid condition, FIR No. 139/2020 dated 13.04.2020 registered under sections 323/354/354(B)/451/34 IPC at P.S.: Kanjhawala, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petitioners are directed to place on record the proof of payment of costs.
12. The Registry is directed to re-list the matter if costs are not paid as directed.
13. The petition stands disposed-of.
14. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 11, 2026/ak