



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1740/2026

RAJENDER PRASAD & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Razia Sultana, Adv. with
petitioners in person

Versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Meenakshi Dahiya, Mr. Aditya
Vikram Singh, Mr. Bhanu Pratap
Singh and Ms. Vanshika Singh,
Advocates with SI Sanjay Meena,
HC Vinod, PS: OIA
Mr. Vikas Bakshi and Mr. Arshad
Khan, Advs. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **24.04.2026**

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C**), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.118/2020 dated 22.03.2020, PS.: Okhla Industrial Area, Delhi registered under *Sections 323/341/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Memorandum of Understanding (**MoU**) dated 09.04.2026, whereby the petitioners and the respondent no.2 have mutually and amicably resolved their disputes.



2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Since the fresh MoU dated 09.04.2026 is stated to have been filed, however, the same is not on record. Learned counsel for the petitioners has handed over a copy of the same, which is taken on record.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the MoU dated 09.04.2026, whereby the respondent no.2 has mutually and amicably resolved the disputes *inter se* themselves. Respondent no.2 submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.***: (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***: (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***: (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.118/2020 dated 22.03.2020, PS.: Okhla Industrial Area, Delhi registered under Sections



323/341/34 of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 24, 2026/So