



\$~83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 3027/2026, CM APPL. 14572/2026 & CM APPL. 14573/2026

VIKASH KUMAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. V.S. Dubey, Mr. Sushil Kumar,
Mr. Mandeep Kumar & Mr. Ashish
Pandey, Advocates along with
Petitioner-in-Person.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Pratima N. Lakra, CGSC with
Mr. Rahul Bhaskar (G.P.) and Ms.
Upanita Souryadarshini for
Respondent No. 1/Union of India.
Mr. M.A. Niyazi- Standing Counsel
along with Ms. Kirti Bhardwaj & Mr.
Nehmat Seth, Advocates for
Respondent No.2/CBSE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

11.03.2026

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel representing Respondent No. 2 / Central Board of Secondary Education.
2. On being pointed out that the averments made in the Writ Petition are absolutely vague, non-specific and omnibus in nature and so is the prayer clause, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the Writ Petition with the liberty to seek redressal of his grievances administratively by making a representation containing specific and pointed averments.



3. The Petition is, thus, dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

MARCH 11, 2026/ 'A'