



\$~63

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 3336/2022 & CM APPL. 9726/2022, CM APPL. 48888/2024
MISS SRISHTI CHOUDHARY THROUGH LEGAL GUARDIAN
& ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anshuman Chowdhury, Mr.
Shivam Chaudhary, Mr. Harsh Khabar, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Ms. Chandrika
Sachdev, Advs. for GNCTD

Dr. Meenakshi Kalra, Mr. Kamal, Mr. Mayank
Dhiyania, Ms. Sakshi Gupta, Ms. Anjali
Choudhary, Ms. Vrinda Taneja, Advs. for R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

30.03.2026

%

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents are agreeable that the Deputy Director of the concerned Zone of Directorate of Education shall call the representative of the petitioner and the representative of the respondent No. 3 school with their record and after hearing the parties shall form an opinion regarding the following:

- i. Whether the increase in Tuition Fee of respondent No. 3 school from the year 2021 onwards is in accordance with the judgment of *Modern School v. Union of India, (2004) 5 SCC 583* and the applicable law.
- ii. That whether the petitioners have paid the permissible chargeable fee to respondent No. 3.

3. Department of Education shall pass a speaking Order within a



period of 2 weeks from today.

4. In case the petitioner or the respondent No. 3 are aggrieved by the Order of the Deputy Director of Department of Education, they are entitled to avail their legal remedies in accordance with law.
5. The petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MARCH 30, 2026/AS

JASMEET SINGH, J