



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2909/2026 & CM APPL. 14081/2026, CM APPL. 14082/2026

VAIBHAV CHAUHANPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ramesh Rawat, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rohan Jaitley CGSC with
Mr. Akshay Sharma (GP), Mr.
Dev Pratap Shahi, Mr. Varun
Pratap Singh, Mr. Yogya
Bhatia, Advocates for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **09.03.2026**

1. The present writ petition is filed by the Petitioner challenging the order dated 19.01.2026 (hereafter '**impugned order**'), passed by learned Central Administrative Tribunal in CP No. 825/2024 in OA No. 1998/2018.

2. By way of the impugned order, after perusing the compliance affidavit, the learned Tribunal closed further proceedings in the contempt petition after coming to a conclusion that there has been substantial compliance of the concerned directions as the Petitioner had been promoted and given benefits up to the level of SAG. Noting the dissatisfaction of the Petitioner in regard to his non-empanelment at the level of HAG, liberty was granted to him to challenge any subsequent orders in this regard in accordance with law.

3. The scope of a contempt petition and an execution petition is



not the same. If any further compliance or directions are required, the Petitioner may, if so advised, file appropriate enforcement proceedings or a subsequent original application.

4. The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 9, 2026

DV