



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2750/2026 & CM APPL. 13363/2026**

NAVEEN VATS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sachin Chaudhary, Adv. along
with Petitioner in Person. (M:
9311738762)

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta with Mr. Urvi
Mohan, Advs.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **27.02.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed by the Petitioner - Mr. Naveen Vats under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, seeking directions to the Respondent No. 5 and Respondent No.6 to stop financial irregularities in the Respondent Society-ESIC Thrift and Credit Society Ltd.
3. A brief background of the present case is that, the Petitioner was duly elected as the Treasurer of the Respondent Society on 15th March, 2024. The President and the Secretary of the Respondent Society are Mr. Mukesh Kumar and Mr. Govind Singh, who are arrayed as Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 respectively in the present petition.
4. The case of the Petitioner is that, sometime in May 2024, the Petitioner had detected serious financial embezzlement and irregularities in the accounts of the Respondent society.
5. Thereafter, the Petitioner is stated to have filed a written complaint to



the Registrar Co-operative Societies, Delhi (*hereinafter*, 'RCS') on 20th May, 2024 highlighting alleged financial irregularities and violation of the Bye-laws of the Respondent Society.

6. A Show Cause Notice was also issued by the Petitioner to the Respondent No.4- Accountant, seeking explanation for excess loan disbursal.

7. Pursuant thereto, Petitioner is stated to have filed repeated complaints before the RCS on 20th May, 2024, 29th May, 2024, 18th June, 2024 and 21st June, 2024 as also reminders thereof, reiterating the allegations of serious financial irregularities in the Respondent Society.

8. Subsequently, the RCS issued a Show Cause Notice to the Respondent No. 5 and 6 on 9th December, 2024.

9. Thereafter, the Petitioner filed a formal complaint before the RCS on 10th March, 2025 seeking appropriate action against the financial irregularities.

10. The grievance of the Petitioner is that despite repeated complaints and reminders, no action is being taken by the RCS. The prayer in the present petition is also for conduct of an investigation into financial transactions of the Respondent Society and for appointment of a neutral administrator to manage the affairs of the Respondent Society.

11. The Petitioner has been sending several complaints and reminders to the RCS, however, for no strict action has been taken.

12. The Court has considered the matter. Clearly, whenever such complaints involving financial irregularities are filed, there is a duty upon the RCS to look into the complaints, conduct inspection and audits and take action in terms of the Delhi Co-operative Societies Act, 2003 and Delhi Co-operative Societies Rules, 2007.



13. Ld. Counsel for the RCS submits that within a period of 45 days all the requisite action would be taken by the RCS.

14. Recording this submission, the RCS is directed to take requisite action after providing proper hearing to the Petitioner and the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

15. If no action is taken by the RCS, the Petitioner is at liberty to seek the revival of the present petition.

16. The petition is disposed of in these terms. Pending applications, if any, are also disposed of.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

MADHU JAIN, J.

FEBRUARY 27, 2026

dj/sm