



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2777/2026 & CM APPL. 13488/2026**

PRABHU DAYAL PUBLIC SCHOOLPetitioner

Through: Ms. Amita Sehgal, Mr. Kartik Kalra,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, PC (Civil),
GNCTD, Mrs Chandrika Sachdev, Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **27.02.2026**

CM APPL. 13489/2026

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2777/2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

“(a) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to release all pending EWS/DG reimbursements for Academic Years 2016-17 to 2024-25, along with interest;

(b) Direct the Respondents to decide the Petitioner's representation dated 03.10.2025 by passing a reasoned order;

(c) Direct the Respondents to frame a uniform, time-bound SOP for processing EWS reimbursements for all private unaided schools;

(d) Direct the DE-Nominee to arrange creation of Registration IDs of EWS/DG students;...”



2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner is a private unaided recognised school and in compliance with Section 12(1)(C) of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education (“**RTE**”) Act, 2009, the petitioner has continuously admitted students under the Economically Weaker Sections (“**EWS**”) and Disadvantaged Groups (“**DG**”) and provided them free education including free books, uniform and learning material. At present approximately 564 out of 2157 total students belong to the EWS/DG category.
3. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that Section 12 (1) (c) of the RTE act, read with Rule 8 of the Delhi RTE rules 2011 mandate reimbursement by the State to the schools for expenditure incurred in providing education to EWS/DG category students.
4. Despite the petitioner having complied with its obligations, the respondent has not reimbursed the petitioner in accordance with law. Hence, the petition.
5. Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent appears on advance notice and states that the complete requisite documents have not been supplied and hence, there is delay in making the reimbursement.
6. Ms. Sehgal, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner is ready and willing to supply all documents as required.
7. In this view of the matter, the respondent shall handover a complete list of documents required from the petitioner on or before 09.03.2026, thereafter, petitioner shall furnish all the requisite the documents as sought by the respondent on or before 16.03.2026 and then, the respondent shall release the due amount on or before



02.04.2026.

8. In case the due amount is not paid on or before 02.04.2026, the Court may be constrained to impose interest.
9. With these directions, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
10. In case of non-compliance of the order passed today, the petitioner will be at liberty to revive the present petition.

FEBRUARY 27, 2026 / (MS)

JASMEET SINGH, J