



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2835/2026, CM APPL. 13768/2026 and CM APPL. 13769/2026**

**PRAJAPITA BRAHMA KUMARIS ISHWARIYA VISHWA
VIDYALAYA**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mrs Meera Kaura Patel, Mrs Ritika
Saini and Mr. Prince Goyal, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shiven Varma, Panel Counsel
GNCTD and Mr. Rudraksh mathur,
Advs. for R-1.

Mr. R. K. Dhawan, Standing Counsel
for DDA with Ms. Nisha Dhawan and
Mr. Pawan Karan Deo, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **27.02.2026**

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the public notice dated 17.02.2026 issued by the Government of NCT of Delhi, Public Works Department ['PWD']. The said notice is extracted as under:

"NOTICE

In compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi Case No. W.P.(C) 10706/2023 to remove the unauthorized encroachment on from Ram Dev Chowk to Narela Railway Crossing and Safiabab Mor to Safiabab Border on Narela Bawana Road.

Accordingly, the general public is hereby informed that 02 March, 2026 at 11:00 AM has been fixed for carrying out the removal of the said unauthorized encroachments.

All concerned persons are advised to remove their unauthorized encroachments before the aforesaid date and time. Failing this, the



Department shall remove the encroachments on the scheduled date. The Department shall not be responsible for any loss or damage arising from such removal.”

2. The petitioner claims to be the owner of Property No. 1, Block-A, measuring approximately 135 sq. yds, forming part of Khasra No. 286/2, Abadi known as Mandi Extension, situated in the area of Village Mamoorpur, Narela, Delhi. The said property is claimed to have been purchased by way of registered Sale Deed dated 14.01.2005. A copy thereof has been placed on record.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that, by way of the impugned public notice, the public at large has been informed of the removal of unauthorised encroachments. She submits that, however, certain markings have been made even over the properties of the petitioner, which raises sufficient apprehensions that the petitioner’s property also is sought to be illegally demolished.

4. The Court, at the first instance, does not find any details with respect to the constructions which are sought to be demolished by way of the impugned notice. In any case, if the petitioner has any apprehensions regarding the demolition of structure, the petitioner has to raise the grievance before the PWD by way of a proper representation.

5. The PWD, in turn, after considering the petitioner’s representation, will have to issue a specific notice as to which part of the petitioner’s structure is illegally encroached requiring demolition. It is only thereafter, that the demolition activity can be carried out.

6. Let the petitioner to approach the PWD within two working days from today. Thereafter, the PWD to pass an appropriate order in accordance with



law.

7. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.
8. Till the petitioner's application/representation is decided by the PWD, there shall not be any demolition of the petitioner's structure.
9. Petition stands disposed of.
10. Order *dasti*.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/p/amg