



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 702/2026**

MOHD IQBAL SIDDIQUI AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
Abid Ibrahim and Mr. Rahul Kumar,
Adv.s

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT, DELHI AND ANR ...Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for the State
with Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini
Kumar, Ms. Chavi Lazarus and Mr.
Anshul Sharma, Adv.s. along with
ASI Ominder Singh.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Tarun
Narayan Tyagi and Mr. Rahul Dev,
Adv.s.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

27.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 6609/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 702/2026

3. By way of the present writ petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 171/2025, registered at Police Station South East, Jamia Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 85/316(2)/318(2)/271/272/3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023



(hereafter '*BNS*').

4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station South East, Saket Courts, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that after solemnization of marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2, they have started living separately from each other. No child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental differences and on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant Sections.

6. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes *vide* Settlement dated 25.07.2025 before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi. The complainant is present in person along with her counsel, who states that she has received the balance compromise amount of Rs.9,00,000/-, by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 006770, dated 17.01.2026, drawn on HDFC Bank

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 171/2025, registered at Police Station South East, Jamia Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 85/316(2)/318(2)/271/272/3(5) of the BNS and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/A/R