



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1623/2026

SOYAB

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. V.K. Sharma, Adv. alongwith
petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the State
with Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Ms. Niketa
Manish and Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advs.
with SI Dayaram, PS Narela
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

29.05.2026

1. The present matter is being taken up today as 28.05.2026 was declared a holiday.
2. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.760/2018 dated 13.11.2018 registered at PS: Narela, Rohini Delhi under *Sections 323/341/354B/506/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) as also all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the (fresh) Memorandum of Understanding dated 21.05.2026 (***MOU***), handed over by the learned counsel for the petitioner, which is taken on record alongwith the affidavits of the parties.



3. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accept notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
4. Respondent no.2 present in Court, also accept notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MOU and submits that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed as she wishes to live her life peacefully.
5. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.
6. Upon consideration of the existing facts and the overall events unfolding in their entirety, even though this Court is mindful that the present FIR No.760/2018 dated 13.11.2018 registered at PS: Narela, Rohini Delhi under *Sections 323/341/354B/506/34* of the IPC, however, this Court is inclined to quash the present FIR in exercise of its inherent powers under *Section 528* of the BNSS, particularly, since the respondent no.2 is willing to bring closure to the present proceedings and has given her affidavit to the aforesaid effect.
7. Respondent no. 2 intends to end all disputes with the petitioner herein *qua* which their respective affidavit(s) to that effect have been annexed herewith. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.
8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed FIR No.760/2018 dated 13.11.2018 registered at PS: Narela, Rohini Delhi under *Sections*



323/341/354B/506/34 IPC as also all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

MAY 29, 2026/rr

SAURABH BANERJEE, J