



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 687/2026**

RAJIV KUMAR SINGHAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Priyal Bhardwaj, Advocate.

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC with
Mr. Arjit Sharma and Ms. Sakshi
Jha, Advocates.
SI Vikas, PS-Kalyan Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
26.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks a direction towards Competent Authority to consider name of the petitioner for pre-mature release in case FIR No.341/1996 registered under *Sections 304B/498A* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at PS.: Kalyan Puri, Delhi in the upcoming Sentence Review Board Meeting.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the incumbent jail authorities, if, as and when required.



3. As such, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

FEBRUARY 26, 2026/NA