



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1563/2026, CRL.M.As. 6334-35/2026**

SH PRAVEEN KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nishant Solanki, Advocate with
petitioners in person (Through VC)

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP with
the Investigating Officer.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

26.02.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.033/2025 dated 14.01.2023 registered at PS.: Uttam Nagar, Delhi under *Sections 406/498A/354/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 03.11.2025 [***Annexure P/3***] arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their affidavits as also their proofs of identities respectively.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and confirms that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms



the terms of the settlement and submits that she has already, *inter alia*, received a total sum of Rs.11,00,000/- as a full and final settlement *qua* all her claims including alimony, maintenance (present, past and future), stridhan etc. Respondent no.2 states that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Upon consideration of the existing facts and the overall events unfolding in their entirety, even though this Court is mindful that the present FIR has been registered under *Sections 406/498A/354/34* of the IPC, the present FIR is liable to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers under *Section 528* of the BNSS, particularly, since the respondent no.2 has entered into a settlement with the petitioner no.1 in the midst of pendency of the proceedings, and has also given her affidavit to the aforesaid effect and is thus no longer inclined to support the case of the prosecution as also since it will also be in the interest of the parties and betterment of their future.

6. Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances involved, since a Settlement has already been arrived at voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, as also since, the accompanying affidavit(s) of the parties involved have also been filed herewith, and as they undertake to remain bound by all the terms and conditions thereof, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder***



Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466, the continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.033/2025 registered at PS.: Uttam Nagar, Delhi under *Sections 406/498A/354/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

FEBRUARY 26, 2026/NA