



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 837/2026**

VIKRAMJEET SINGH @ VICKYPetitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State along with SI Sahil.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **26.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 6396/2026 & CRL.M.A. 6397/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 837/2026

3. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR bearing no. 122/2024, registered at Police Station Budh Vihar, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 307/506/195A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are that while the complainant and her daughter-in-law were resting at home, two individuals, namely Vikramjeet Singh @ Vicky (the present applicant) and his brother



Janab (co-accused), who were residents of the same colony, had barged into their house. They had threatened her to withdraw the other FIR registered by the complainant against the applicant. On her refusal to withdraw that case, the present applicant had taken out a screwdriver from his pocket and had allegedly started to hit her on the head. In the meantime, her son Amit, who had gone to a nearby shop, had returned home and had tried to intervene. At that stage, the co-accused Janab had hit Amit with the screwdriver on his head, causing injuries. Thereafter, both persons had allegedly beaten the complainant and her son and had fled the spot. While running away, the screwdriver had slipped from the applicant's pocket and had fallen on the ground, which the complainant had picked up and had later handed over to the police during investigation. On the aforesaid allegations, the present FIR was registered against the accused persons.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant argues that the present accused/applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that the co-accused has already been granted bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 09.09.2025 in BAIL APPLN. 2894/2025. Moreover, it is stated that the present accused/applicant having same role, has been in judicial custody for the last one year and eight months. It is, therefore, prayed that the applicant be released on regular bail.

7. The learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the present bail application and argues that the allegations against the applicant herein/accused are serious in nature. It is argued that there are specific allegations against the application, that he had hit the complainant with a screw driver. It is further argued that the present applicant may extend threats to the witnesses, if released on bail.



8. This Court has **heard** arguments addressed by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant as well as the learned APP for the State and has perused the material on record.

9. This Court notes that the applicant has been in judicial custody for about one year and eight months and co-accused, with similar allegations, has already been enlarged on bail *vide* order dated 09.09.2025. Further, it is pertinent to note that there are no criminal antecedents of the applicant herein.

10. Considering the overall facts and circumstance of the case, particularly that applicant has been in judicial custody for more than 1 year and 10 months, this Court is *inclined to grant regular bail* to the applicant on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly contact the victim or his family members and not to make an attempt to influence the witnesses, or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- ii. The applicant shall share his mobile number with the concerned IO/SHO, and shall keep the same switch on/active at all times.
- iii. In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court.
- iv. The applicant shall appear regularly before the learned



Trial Court.

11. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed and is disposed of.
12. It is, however, clarified that nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on merits of the case.
13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 26, 2026/A/R