



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1539/2026**

VINOD KUMAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr. Nikhil Rastogi, Mr. Kunal Srivastava, Mr. Vinod KR. Mangal, Mr Natish Singh Dhama, Mr. Prakhar Awasthi and Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for the State along with ASI Anju Bale. R-2 with her counsel Mr. Kunal Yadav, Ms. Tannu Rathee, Ms. Nipurna, Ms. Himanshi, Mr. Lalit and Mr. Umesh Naniwal, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

25.02.2026

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 450/2023, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 406/498A/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
2. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Sarai Rohilla, Delhi.
3. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between



petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 03.02.2022 as per the Hindu rites and customs and were living with each other. No child was born from their wedlock. After some time, due to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other and have started living separately since 17.06.2022. Thereafter, on the complaint of respondent no.2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

4. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement Deed dated 03.12.2024, arrived at before the Counselling Cell, Family Court, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi. It is stated that an amount of ₹1,00,000/- has been paid to respondent no. 2 by the petitioner through online mode.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 450/2023, registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under



Sections 406/498A/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

8. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026/A/GJ