



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 433/2026**

SUMAN RAJ KHURANA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Bajaj and Ms. Nandini
Singhal, Advs.

versus

GAURAV SARIN & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Respondent No. 1-in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **25.02.2026**

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 12743/2026 (for exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application stands disposed of.

CM(M) 433/2026

3. The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, read with Section 25B of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958, assailing the impugned order dated 07th January, 2026 passed by the learned Trial Court in *RC ARC No. 236/2024*, whereby the opportunity of the petitioners to file the rejoinder was closed.

4. Respondent no. 1 appears in person on advance notice, and submits that he has no objection if the rejoinder is taken on record, subject to the condition that the petitioners shall not seek any adjournment either for filing the rejoinder or for advancing the arguments before the learned Trial Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the rejoinder shall be



filed within one week from today before the learned Trial Court with an advance copy to the opposite side. It is further submitted that no adjournment shall be sought on the next date of hearing before the learned Trial Court.

6. Keeping in view of the aforesaid submissions, the petitioners shall file the rejoinder within a period of one week from today before the learned Trial Court, with an advance copy to the opposite side.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of on the above stated terms. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026/sds/abk