



\$~206

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1506/2026**

SHRI DHANRAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil Sharma and Mr. Kamal
Kishore Yadav, Advocates alongwith
petitioner (in-person)

versus

STATE OF N.C.T OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State.

Mr. Tarun Grover, Mr. Jitender and
Mr. Rajan Sharma and Mr. Pranjali
Kain, Advocate for R-2.

SI Randeep, P.S.: North Rohini.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

24.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 6087/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 1506/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks



quashing of case FIR No.89/2012 dated 19.03.2012 registered under sections 448/380/323/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: North Rohini, Outer District, Delhi. Consequent upon completion of investigation, chargesheet dated 17.08.2025 has come to be filed in the matter.

2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Understanding ('MoU') dated 10.12.2025, whereby the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have resolved the matter amicably.
3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with the respondent No.2, as also with petitioners, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and a MoU dated 10.12.2025 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Respondent No.2 confirms that in full and final settlement of the matter, he was to receive a sum of Rs. 8,00,000/- from the petitioner, out of which, the petitioner had already received Rs. 6,50,000/- and the balance sum of Rs. 1,50,000/- has now been paid to him. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.



7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
8. Accordingly, case FIR No.89/2012 dated 19.03.2012 registered under sections 448/380/323/34 IPC at P.S.: North Rohini, Outer District, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
9. Petition stands disposed-of.
10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 24, 2026

SS