



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL. APPL.756/2026**

SUMIT JALAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohd. Asif with Ms. Farheen
Khan, Mr. Kadir Ali, Advocates and
petitioner in-person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh, SPP for the
State with Ms. Krishna Mohan
Chandel and Mr. Utkarsh Singh,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

20.03.2026

%

The matter has been listed by way of Supplementary Listing, having
been mentioned to Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

CRL.M.A. 8692/2026

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.A. 8691/2026

By way of the present application filed under section 483 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks
extension of interim bail granted to him *vidé* order dated 20.02.2026 by
a period of 60 days.

2. *Vidé* order dated 20.02.2026, this court had granted to the petitioner 04
weeks of interim bail on the ground that his wife had suffered a fall in



Kolkata on 13.02.2026 and her medical condition needed to be addressed.

3. The interim bail granted runs-out tomorrow *i.e.* 21.03.2026.
4. As recorded in order dated 20.02.2026, on the said date, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that on 13.02.2026, his wife had suffered a fall while she was residing in Kolkata with her mother and their minor children, and she had been advised 04 weeks' of bedrest, after which her condition was to be reviewed.
5. It was further submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner wanted to bring his family back to Delhi where they would reside in the mother-in-law's house in Rohini, so that the petitioner's family could be in the same city as the petitioner (where he is lodged in judicial custody).
6. As was noticed in order dated 20.02.2026, the petitioner had initially been granted interim bail by order dated 24.01.2026 by the learned Sessions Court *inter alia* on the ground that his wife had suffered burn injuries; and the petitioner had availed interim bail for a period of 03 weeks by way of the said order.
7. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though he has returned to Delhi on 14.03.2026, his wife has now been advised further procedures including a CT Scan at a diagnostic centre in Kolkata on 26.03.2026. Learned counsel also submits, that *vidé* prescription dated 12.03.2026, the attending doctors at the government hospital in Kolkata have advised the wife further 04 weeks of bedrest.
8. Issue notice.



9. Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh, learned SPP appears for the respondent on advance copy; accepts notice; and draws attention to the prescription dated 13.02.2026 to point-out that the petitioner's wife had been advised CT Scan and other diagnostic procedures as far back on 13.02.2026, but evidently, the petitioner did not take any steps to have those tests perform on his wife at that time.
10. Mr. Singh also points-out, that for having suffered a fall as far back as on 13.02.2026, it is difficult to accept that the petitioner's wife would not have undergone an X-ray or a CT Scan, if the problem was serious.
11. Be that as it may, and upon hearing learned counsel for the petitioner at some length, this court is *not inclined* to grant any extension of interim bail granted to the petitioner *vidé* order dated 20.02.2026.
12. At this stage, raising a different ground for extension of interim bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that by way of abundant indulgence, the petitioner be permitted some time to follow-up on the interview call that he has received for his son's admission to Presidium School, Pitampura on 25.03.2026 or 27.03.2026 (as alternative dates), as evidenced by e-mail dated 17.03.2026, a copy of which is appended to the present application.
13. Without delving into the veracity of that e-mail, and considering that the e-mail relates to possible school admission of the petitioner's son, the petitioner is granted further time to surrender back to custody *on or before 28.03.2026*.
14. It is made clear that no further extension of time will be granted for the purpose.
15. Application stands disposed-of.



BAIL. APPL.756/2026

16. Petition already stood disposed-of *vidé* order dated 20.02.2026.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 20, 2026

ds