



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1420/2026 & CRL.M.A. 5696/2026

INDERJEET AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankit Bhanwala and Ms. Ishita
M. Puranik, Advocates.
All petitioners are in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the
State with SI Raghuraj Singh, P.S.:
Sangam Vihar.
Mr. P. Pankaj, Advocate for R-2 with
Respondent No.2 is in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

18.03.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 0400/2020 dated 20.07.2020 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Sangam Vihar, Delhi.

2. The petition is premised on Settlement dated 14.08.2024 arrived at through counselling before the Counselling Cell, Family Courts, South District, Saket District Court, New Delhi; and Divorce Decree dated 17.03.2025, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.



3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners, as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that one child, viz. Gunjan, was born from the wedlock, who is minor as of date.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The court has queried respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs. 4 lacs from petitioner No. 1; and she has received the entire amount in compliance of the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
8. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement



between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

10. Accordingly, case FIR No. 0400/2020 dated 20.07.2020 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the IPC at P.S.: Sangam Vihar, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 18, 2026

V.Rawat