



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 591/2026**

SATTAR @ZEESHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lewish Edward, Mr. Vignesh P.
and Mr. Sameer, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State.
ACP Bed Parkash and SI R. Kumar,
P.S.: Dayal Pur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER
19.02.2026

%

CRL.M.A. 5668/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

W.P.(CRL) 591/2026

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks expeditious trial in proceedings arising from case FIR No.480/2020 dated 13.09.2020 registered under sections 302/307/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') and sections 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959 ('Arms Act') at P.S.: Dayal Pur, North-East Delhi, in which the petitioner is facing



trial as co-accused *inter-alia* for the offence under section 302 of the IPC.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that the subject FIR was registered on 13.09.2020; chargesheet in the case was filed soon thereafter in which the prosecution has named 42 witnesses; however despite lapse of more than 05 years, only 06 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.
3. Upon query, counsel submits that charges in the matter were framed on 01.04.2023.
4. Issue notice.
5. Mr. Rahul Tyagi, learned ASC (Criminal) appears for the State on advance copy; accepts notice; and leaves it to the court to pass appropriate orders.
6. Mr. Tyagi however submits, that no direction is called-for to the Investigating Officer to examine the prosecution witnesses in any given sequence.
7. In view of the prayer made, it is not considered necessary to call-for a status report or to delve any further into the matter.
8. In view of the time that has lapsed between the registration of the FIR; the filing of the chargesheet; and the framing of charges; and considering the number of prosecution witnesses arraigned in the chargesheet, the present petition is disposed-of, with a request to the learned trial court to conclude the trial in the matter as expeditiously as possible, and *preferably within a period of 01-year* from the next date of hearing before that court, which is stated to be 22.04.2026.



9. The accused persons as well as the prosecution should not be granted any unnecessary adjournments.
10. The petition is disposed-of in the above terms.
11. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2026/ak