



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1358/2026**
SUNIL NARANG & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).
All petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Respondent No.2 in person.
SI Sachin Kumar, P.S. Amar Colony.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

% **ORDER**
07.05.2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 531/2020 dated 31.12.2020 registered under sections 498-A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Amar Colony, South-East Delhi.

2. The petition is premised on mediated settlement dated 07.05.2025 arrived at through mediation before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi; and Divorce Decree dated 15.12.2025, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the



Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.

3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock.
6. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.
7. The court has queried Ms. Poonam Narang/respondent No. 2, who confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement deed has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (present, past and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive ownership of property bearing No. 207/36B, Entire Second Floor, Prakash Mohalla, Garhi Jharia Maria, East of Kailash, New Delhi and to that end the original gift deed relating to the subject property has been handed-over by petitioners to respondent No.2 in court today.
8. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be



quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

10. Accordingly, case FIR No. 531/2020 dated 31.12.2020 registered under sections 498-A/34 of the IPC at P.S.: Amar Colony, South-East Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MAY 7, 2026

V.Rawat